

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited  
with the United States Postal Office as first class mail  
in an envelope addressed to Commissioner for Patents,  
Washington, D.C. 20231 March 3, 2003  
(Date of Deposit)

FRANK C. NICHOLAS (33,983)  
Name of applicant, assignee or registered representative  
*Frank C. Nicholas*  
Signature  
March 3, 2003  
Date of Signature



7A  
58  
3/12/03  
**RECEIVED**  
MAR 11 2003  
Technology Center 2600

PATENT  
Case No. US 000309  
(7790/210)

**IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE**

In re patent application of: )  
)  
GERT W. BRUNING, ET AL. )  
)  
Serial No.: 09/735,151 )  
)  
Filed: DECEMBER 12, 2000 )  
)  
For: CONTROL AND DRIVE CIRCUIT )  
ARRANGEMENT FOR )  
ILLUMINATION PERFORMANCE )  
ENHANCEMENT WITH LED )  
LIGHT SOURCES )

Examiner: NGUYEN, H.  
Group Art Unit: 2674

**RESPONSE TO OFFICE ACTION DATED OCTOBER 2, 2002**

Assistant Commissioner for Patents  
Washington, D.C. 20231

Dear Sir:

03/13/2003 VBUTLER 00000001 501713 09735151

In response to a Non-Final Office Action dated October 2, 2002, please amend the  
above-identified application as follows:

01 FC:1252

410.00 CH

**INTRODUCTORY COMMENTS**

The present amendment replies to a Non-Final Office Action dated October 2, 2002. Claims 1-23 are currently pending in the present application.

In the Non-Final Office Action, Examiner Nguyen asserted the following rejections of pending claims 1-23:

- A. Claims 1-5 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. §102(a) as being anticipated by U.S. Patent No., 5,724,062 to *Hunter*
- B. Claims 6-8 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent No., 5,724,062 to *Hunter* in view of U.S. Patent No. 5,783,909 to *Hochstein*
- C. Claims 9-23 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent No., 5,724,062 to *Hunter* in view of U.S. Patent No. 5,783,909 to *Hochstein* in further view of U.S. Patent No. 6,448,951 to *Sakaguchi et al.*

As set forth in the REMARKS sections, the Applicant respectfully traverses the rejection of claims 9-23 as being unpatentable over *Hunter* in view of *Hochstein* and in further view of *Sakaguchi*, and respectfully requests reconsideration and further examination of the present application under 37 CFR § 1.112.